

***Enabling environments?  
Facing a spontaneous or incubating stage***

Manuel Orozco, PhD  
[morozco@thedialogue.org](mailto:morozco@thedialogue.org)

Report commissioned by the Multilateral Investment Fund of the  
Inter-American Development Bank.  
Washington, DC.  
January 28, 2002



## ***Introduction***

This report analyses four Central American and Caribbean countries from the perspective of what governments and other actors are doing to promote an enabling environment propitious to economic exchange between immigrants and their homeland. The report examines which policies and practices are most conducive to enabling an environment that attracts foreign currency to Latin America while keeping the expense of the money transfers as low as possible from the perspective of the senders, recipients, and developing countries. As the report explains, there is a growing interest among government and private sector groups to reach out to migrants as economic agents. To that effect efforts are being made to establish a propitious environment to improve economic exchange.

Central American and Caribbean countries are gradually being integrated into the global economy. Migration, mostly to the United States, has significant economic effects in these countries. In particular, worker remittances flow continuously from U.S.-based migrants to support at least ten percent of the households in Central American, Caribbean, and other countries. This influx of foreign capital is of enormous benefit to entire societies, however, and not just the direct beneficiaries. They provide foreign currency for governments and consumer spending for businesses, for example.

Governments are important agents of economic change and through policies and regulations can attract migrant capital and decrease the price of remitting money. Governments need to consider what policies they might adopt to achieve these goals. These may include increasing migrant understanding of alternative sending methods, encouraging or requiring the market to offer cheaper methods to transmit remittances, and developing policy initiatives that enable and encourage an environment that attracts more worker remittances or investment.

From the business perspective, competition among both existing financial service companies and potential new remittance transfer entrepreneurs needs stimulating to lower prices and increase services offered to actual and potential customers who send

remittances abroad. The private sector transferring remittances can contribute to increased remittance flows by lowering transaction costs, and offer development alternatives to individuals and groups through their services.

Four countries are studied in detail for this report of enabling environments. These countries are El Salvador, the Dominican Republic, Jamaica, and Guatemala. The study is based on more than fifty interviews in the four countries. Government, private sector, and non-profit sector institutions were consulted and their representatives interviewed to assess the extent to which regulations, policy and private initiatives, and other incentives have been put into motion to transfer migrant currency (like remittances, savings, investment, or donations) to their home country more cheaply and/or efficiently.

The future scenarios to better attract and increase foreign migrant currency look positive for most of these countries. There are a number of initiatives in the making, many of which will materialize in ways that will enable an environment to transfer remittances at lowered charges and expand economic activities in savings and investment by migrants. The first section of the report analyses the continued trend of contact between migrants and their home countries. The second section analyses whether there is an environment that allows migrants to economically engage with their home country, and to at least send foreign currency at low costs. Finally, the report offers concrete recommendations to further enable and promote the environment for remittance transfers.

## **1. Background**

In addition to sending remittances, migrant workers are providing other important sources of revenue and economic stimulation to their countries of origin. This first form of economic engagement has historically been remittances. However, these cash flows are by no means the only benefit to the countries of origin. There are at least three other forms of economic support. First, as well as cash, migrants bring consumer goods to their families and communities. Second, the nationals living abroad visit their home countries, often regularly, expanding or revitalizing the tourist industry and related economic sectors such as airlines and other forms of transportation. Third, in the growth

of 'nostalgic industries, immigrants purchase products from their countries of origin while in the U.S.. Fourth, immigrants may and sometimes do invest in businesses in their native lands, including but not only in the melancholic industries. Finally, many migrants provide financial support to facilitate development and philanthropic initiatives through Home Town Associations (HTAs), which donate cash, goods, and in-kind services to communities in the countries of origin.

In most of the countries under study, economic connectivity between migrants and their native country is a recurrent process. Tourism for El Salvador and the Dominican Republic has a strong component of nationals living abroad. In the Dominican Republic, for example, nearly forty percent of tourists who arrive into the country are Dominicans living abroad, predominantly in the United States. Their average length of stay is more than fifteen days and they spend around \$65 a day. Only from John F. Kennedy airport, annual flights to Santo Domingo amount to nearly 140,000 people. Another 95,000 travel from Miami (See Table 1 below). The situation is similar in El Salvador. Over forty percent of people arriving into the country are Salvadorans. Grupo Taca, an airline carrier that serves Central America, flies 21 times a day from the United States. The same pattern is observed in countries like Nicaragua and Mexico, where daily flights from the United States bring Nicaraguans and Mexicans into their country to visit. At least 20 percent of tourists arriving to Mexico are Mexicans residing in the United States. The wealth generated by these flows is significant.

Table 1. National Origin and International Tourists

	Total tourists	Nationals	Percent	Year
Dominican Republic	2,169,977	845,102	0.38945	1999
Jamaica	2,231,765	103,379	0.04632	2000
Mexico	9,793,900	2,203,100	0.22495	1997

Source: Banco Central, Republica Dominicana, <http://www.bancentral.gov.do/>; Bank of Jamaica, Statistical Digest October 2001, Table 36.1; Banco de Mexico, [www.mexico-travel.com](http://www.mexico-travel.com).

Moreover, in a smaller proportion perhaps, migrants have become a new market attracting exports from their home country. Ethnic imports to the U.S., labeled 'melancholic' trades and including items such as local beer, rum, cheese, and other foodstuffs, have gained more attention among producers in Central America and the Caribbean. For example, exports to the United States of El Salvadoran beer tripled from one million dollars to \$3.3 million between 1999 and 2000. By October 2001 exports had increased to \$3.5 million, and promised to reach four million at the end of the year (USTR 2002). In many cases, home country producers have also established businesses in the United States to cater to the migrant community.

Another important development in the 'nostalgic trade is that of migrants investing back in their home country to manufacture foodstuff like cheese, fruits, and vegetables. Several migrants residing in the United States have set up businesses back in their home country to establish stores of various kinds. One particular example of such a company is Roos Foods, Inc., a food manufacturer that produces and sells processed milk products in Central America and to Central Americans and Mexicans residing in the United States. Roos Foods operates in the United States but with franchises in Nicaragua and El Salvador This trend of migrant investment in home countries will likely continue in the following years.

Moreover, investment may not only be in individual enterprises. A recent survey of migrants residing in the United States commissioned by the Inter-American Development Bank asked how interested they were "in investing in a fund that would benefit the economic development" of their country. Thirty-eight percent of respondents said that they were somewhat interested or very interested (IADB 2001). These patterns of continued and increasing and economic interaction bring into focus the extent to which there is an environment that facilitates a relatively uncomplicated process for migrants to strengthen their relationships with their home countries, starting with a low cost method to transfer remittances. Specifically, are there factors facilitating or enabling an environment for migrants to work as active economic agents? Is there an enabling

environment that helps businesses compete in the remittance market and help lower transaction costs? The following sections seek to answer these questions.

## ***2. An Enabling Environment***

With increased labor migration, governments, civil society, and the private sector are now faced with the prospect of attracting more worker remittances, migrant association donations, migrant capital investment, as well as trade opportunities. Governments and businesses need to ask themselves what they can do to help lower the costs of remittances and attract more money. What policy and regulatory changes would be most helpful? What stands in the way of those changes? Would regulation of courier agencies and other remittance transfer businesses reduce costs? Can governments and financial institutions help channel greater amounts of remittances through the banking system?

An enabling environment is one that facilitates with ease economic interaction among players. Five factors that enable a particular economic environment are:

- the presence of a significant number of economic players;
- communication and networking efforts;
- easily available information about transactions;
- policy, business initiatives, and ventures regarding and in key economic sectors;
- and
- resource availability to enhance initiatives and motivate players.

Within this context, governments businesses (as well as nongovernmental groups, the latter largely beyond the scope of this report) can promote initiatives that not only address cost reduction in transfer of remittances, but also enable other elements of an economic environment that is attractive for migrant transfers of various kinds. Factors that may hinder or enhance migrants' decisions to increase, diversify, and strengthen the impact and value of transfers include (but are not limited to) the following:

- Cost and delivery. These factors are affected by many forces, including prevailing monopolies or ineffective oversight over money transfers.

- Exchange rates.
- Banking regulations. For example, allowing those working in the U.S. to keep dollar accounts with favorable interest rates in their home countries would likely increase remittance flow as well as enhance how those remittances are used, such as for savings and investment.
- Granting trading licenses to individuals who already have enough foreign exchange to import or export commodities. These initiatives can attract savings coming from remittances received by local entrepreneurs.
- Nonexistent or insufficient incentives to attract immigrant investment and/or donations. Governments can create incentives through policies such as facilitating favorable loan interest rates to migrant groups or reducing import duties for hometown associations' donations. Banks and credit unions can offer strategies to attract remittances, savings, and other investments to their institutions and support the development of the receiving community.

This project reviewed current policies governing foreign currency transfers to Latin America, as well as the outreach efforts to migrants by the banking industry in selected Latin American countries. The report finds that, overall, there are no major obstacles for migrants to transfer resources (remittances, donations, or investments), or for companies to engage with their diaspora. There are, however, also few major or widespread initiatives to increase and enhance the quantity, range, and value of flows. Among other concerns, there is a need for outreach and marketing to migrants. Except in El Salvador where a nascent program exists, and in Jamaica where a new trade policy links the country to its diaspora, there are no strategies in place. Private sector initiative to engage with their fellow compatriots also offers promising opportunities, but they are in the early stages and involve few players. The report now reviews the state of the environment vis a vis migrant opportunities to engage economically with their homelands.

#### *a) El Salvador*

According to U.S. census figures, there are at least one million Salvadorans in the United States. The Central Bank of El Salvador estimated that Salvadorans sent nearly \$2 billion in remittances in 2001. In addition, as noted above, Salvadorans travel to El Salvador frequently and maintain an economic relationship with their families, communities, and country whenever possible. El Salvador's economy was dollarized on January 2001,

which has reduced speculation in worker remittances. Nevertheless, costs of money transfers remain high overall.

Government institutions as well as the private sector and civil society are seeking initiatives and strategies to enable an attractive environment for migrants to engage economically with El Salvador. Helping to reduce costs and bring more remittances are among their priorities. The Salvadoran government's priority is to bring economic resources and investment, including from migrants, rather than to focus on remittances. The business sector remains focused on extending and enhancing the remittance business in its own right.

**Government initiatives**—Central Bank authorities stress that there are few regulations about foreign currency, particularly since El Salvador adopted the dollar as the country's legal tender. Individuals can open dollar accounts, and banks are allowed to do so both for nationals or foreigners. One significant change has been that dollar deposit accounts increased by 6 percent since early 2001. The Central Bank's concern is to keep records of incoming foreign currency and identify their sources. To that effect use a procedure to review foreign currency operations, which compiles figures from reports provided by banks, foreign exchange businesses, and other institutions authorized to carry out international financial operations.

Importantly, the Salvadoran government has sought to reach out to its diaspora, but the efforts do not always have the necessary follow-through. In 2000, the Ministry of the Economy sought to adopt a strategy aiming at cultivating migrants as potential investors. The Ministry created a 'trading cluster' with the purpose of linking Salvadoran enterprises with diasporic business partners. This strategic alliance approach serves as a departure point to promote trade at larger scale. By January 2001, the strategic alliances emerging from the Ministry's initiative had reached monthly deals of \$100,000 to purchase agricultural goods such as beans. Later in the year, however, such efforts seemed to have lost momentum. The Office of Competitiveness at the Ministry stressed that these were important efforts and El Salvador should think of these strategic alliances

as economic beachheads. Nevertheless, as of late 2001, there were no continued initiatives in place and that no follow-up to the previous effort.

The Foreign Affairs office also engaged in outreach efforts with expatriate Salvadorans as part of an initiative emanating from the Vice-President of the Republic. The Dirección General de Atención a la Comunidad en el Exterior (hereafter, the Directorate) created in January 2000, is an office that coordinates with other government agencies outreach efforts with Salvadorans living abroad. The objectives of the office have been to link the Salvadoran government with the community living abroad, carrying out initiatives that strengthen the relationship between the diaspora and El Salvador. The office has paid attention to four areas: economic, cultural, community organizing, and migration. Much of the office's work has involved networking with the diaspora, particularly with hometown association leaders. It has also promoted development in a number of arenas. One initiative was to promote diasporic assistance to reconstruct housing following the early 2001 earthquake that devastated parts of the country. Another project has been the office's role in facilitating communication between hometown associations and local governments in order to engage in small development projects. To that effect the Fondo de Inversión Social para el Desarrollo Local de El Salvador, a government agency, has linked with hometown associations to carry out small development projects in rural sectors of the country. Construction projects have been at the core of this relationship in which hometown associations participate as joint partners providing material for basic infrastructure and assisting with property acquisition. Other efforts by the directorate have been to facilitate the tax-exempt status of goods donated by Salvadorans living abroad.

In 2002, the Directorate will promote a portfolio of development projects identified with the assistance of the Ministry of Agriculture. These are generally low-budget investment projects involving less than \$30,000 (and which average about \$10,000). These projects would be an attractive incentive to migrants interested in either investing or donating capital. Another important initiative is the creation of a \$300,000 matching fund to implement joint partnership activities with hometown associations. This matching fund

represents an incentive to attract HTAs to engage in or expand development initiatives for their communities of origin.

Table 2. Projects proposed by the Outreach Directorate

Project	Amount	No. of Projects
Chicken farms	60355	7
Fish farms	56690	2
Gardens	27500	6
Handcraft	10500	1
Organic	10500	1
Other	12700	2
Vegetables	9200	1
Social	18500	2
Fruit	10800	2

Source: Outreach directorate

**Private sector initiatives**—Businesses in El Salvador have recognized the value of remittances as an economic instrument that enhances a company’s profits. However, there remain significant areas for businesses to improve the products and services they provide to both migrants and recipients. For example, the banking industry in El Salvador has significant economic exchange abroad and many banks already offer money transfers. The four largest banks in El Salvador (see Table 8) have branches in the United States. Money transfers to El Salvador are competitive and the leading company, Western Union, despite controlling a significant portion of the market with 254 agencies, competes regularly with the banks and courier agencies.

Although many banks offer remittance services, the banks have largely failed to offer or encourage recipients opportunities and incentives to open bank accounts and save their money. As in most countries, there is an assumption among some banks that because most money recipients are low-income individuals who predominantly use the money for consumption, they are not potential bankable customers. That belief has impeded sufficient incentives to and training of senders and recipients to use the banking industry.

Table 3. Top 10 Banks in El Salvador

Bank	Assets in 2001 (in US dollars)	Branches in the U.S.
Agrícola S.A.	2,546,526,000	BancoAgrícola, branches in California and Washington
Cuscatlán de El Salvador S.A.	1,931,919,000	New York
Salvadoreño S.A.	1,405,586,000	BanSol, branches in California and Washington
De Comercio de El Salvador, S.A.	923,568,000	Bancomercio branches in California and Washington
Scotiabank El Salvador, S.A.	401,220,000	
Hipotecario de El Salvador S.A.	253,488,000	Works with Western Union
Capital S.A.	237,593,000	
Credomatic S.A.	205,365,000	
De Fomento Agropecuario	172,439,000	Works with Western Union
Citibank N.A.	165,366,000	

Source: Estrategia y Negocio, Diciembre 2001 – Enero 2002.

Despite this assumption of ‘unbankability’, most bankers agree that some of those receiving remittances open bank accounts at some point in their economic life. For example, in Banco Hipotecario, bank officials estimated that 20 percent of money recipients open accounts and enjoy the benefits of banking. Banco Agrícola estimates that 30 percent of remittance senders and 10 percent of recipients have bank accounts. Banco Agrícola offers three services to senders; remitting to a savings account through the agencies in the U.S., remitting to a relative’s account (or providing a cash payment), and bill payments. However, neither of these two banks have incentives to attract recipients into the banking industry or to offer them or the sender standard banking benefits, such as housing loans or other type of financial opportunities.

Cooperatives have more initiatives and outreach to remittance senders and recipients, but the cooperatives are less widespread than the banks. The Federation of Salvadoran Savings and Loans Cooperatives (Fedecaces) initiated the IRnet system, which provides international wire transfers among credit unions, in coordination with the World Council of Credit Unions. This important initiative, which has enormous potential benefit to people who send and receive remittances (as well as others), continues to be limited due

to lack of resources. Two major impediments are the small number of people who are members of credit unions and the need to develop computer software that would allow for a more efficient money transfer system. Despite these constraints, they have been able to attract clients into the transfer system. Fedecaces has also made use of 26 points of service in El Salvador in addition to its central offices and the involvement of 18 cooperatives.

Fedecases's relationship with other financial institutions underscores arguments this report makes about best practices and the advantages of enabling environments that facilitate flows, customer empowerment, and related economic and social benefits. Originally, Fedecases would transfer remittances from a U.S. based credit union like Comunidades, In order to expand its service in the United States, it then arranged to send money through three money transfer companies; Vigo International, Rapid Money, and Viamericas, all companies which charge lower prices than their business competitors. Fedecases's remittance service tripled from the moment in expanded its activities with the money transfer companies. Between January and September 2001, Fedecaces transferred \$483,068. Because of its expanded reach, after it started transferring with the other companies in October, remittance transactions in the last three months of the year were almost double those of the first nine months of the year, resulting in a year-end total of \$1,203,583. Also notable is that the average remittance transaction was \$400, which is about double the usual transfer amount. Fedecaces's approaches are apparently consolidating their customer base as Fedecaces's officials reported that every month 10 percent of recipients decide to associate with the cooperative.

Other institutions have explored opportunities to engage with their home-country diaspora. Two examples are the Banco Multisectorial and an NGO, Infocentros. Banco Multisectorial is an organization that provides funding for housing and other development projects, usually through other institutions. It has provided credit to sell homes in El Salvador to Salvadorans living abroad. However, partly due to the lack of outreach and marketing strategies and partly due to Banco Multisectorial's lack of knowledge about the Salvadoran population abroad, only \$2 million was financed.

Infocentros is a Salvadoran NGO that offers training to use and access to the Internet. They have 'infocentros' which are computer centers like internet cafes, and an infrastructure already in place. This organization is exploring using its infrastructure and expand its offices throughout the country to offer money transfer services through low-cost, internet-based, transactions to Salvadorans residing abroad. In addition to offering lower process for remittances, this initiative could offer other important benefits to the recipients such as educating the recipient community about new technologies.

El Salvador is clearly setting a basis to facilitate a better economic relationship with its diaspora. However, banking institutions need to better explore the opportunities of attracting migrant capital as well as making efforts to bank the unbanked in El Salvador. Overall, positive efforts and initiatives are being set in place but further dialogue between private sector entrepreneurs and government needs to take place over economic interaction with Salvadorans living abroad.

#### *b) Dominican Republic*

There are nearly one million Dominicans in the United States, the majority of them residing in New York and Florida. In 2001, these Dominicans sent \$1,800 million in remittances to their home country. Despite this volume, the third largest amount among Latin American countries, charges for transfers are higher than in El Salvador, Guatemala, and Mexico. Moreover, there are no specific initiatives in the Dominican Republic to link with their diasporas, though government officials and private sector groups are eager to engage in various economic schemes. Greater knowledge and understanding about the money transfer market and the development of policy initiatives by the government could play a role to stimulate active engagement with Dominicans living abroad.

**Government initiatives**--Government officials in the D.R. value the income of remittances as an important resource for the economy. Central Bank analysts estimate that between 1995 and 2000, after tourism, family remittances represented the second source of foreign currency earnings, reaching \$7.3 billion, or 8 percent of the country's

GDP. Central Bank officials also maintain that, since economic liberalization efforts were established, dollar transactions may be made freely and regulations on foreign currency only occur for figures above \$5,000. Foreign exchange businesses and money transfer companies must comply with regulations about amounts exceeding \$5,000. The bank's obligation is limited to verifying that transactions are registered as remittances and banks and non-bank financial institutions report those remittances.

Notwithstanding the enabling provisions of economic liberalization, governments and private sector could do much more to promote a money transfer environment that is effective, inexpensive, and attractive to migrants as well as other measures to enhance economic relationships with expatriate Dominicans. Tourism is a case in point. Despite the fact that nearly forty percent of tourists who came to the D.R. in 1999 were Dominicans who spent an average of \$741 during their typical 15 day stay, the Tourism office has no program in place to reach out to this sector. Although tourism officials recognize that promoting 'internal tourism' for Dominicans would bring important benefits to the country, they emphasized that their approach is to promote international tourism.

More broadly, there is no government consensus as to how to interact with and relate to Dominicans overseas. In the Foreign Affairs Ministry, for example, an official was concerned about difficulties and risks of having an economic strategy aiming to migrants living abroad. Nevertheless, an outreach policy is considered necessary and to that effect, the Foreign Affairs ministry created an Overseas Affairs Office. Moreover, the office of Investment Promotion in the Dominican government has a clear understanding that partnering with Dominicans living abroad will enhance the country's development opportunities, but has no policies in place to define an appropriate strategy or orientation. That is, the recognition by this office that the government and private sector must create the conditions to bring migrant capital investments has yet to be translated into policy or practice.

**Private sector**—Generally Dominicans suspect that the costs of sending remittances may be high. However, the Asociación Dominicana de Empresas Remesadoras de Divisas, Inc. has an effective public relations job which stresses their prices are fair. Moreover, though some businesses in the Dominican Republic are aware of current market behavior and high prices, they often feel they should not get involved. Remittance companies themselves could also offer development contributions to the communities receiving remittances, such as donations or joint ventures with communities living abroad. Vimenca, Western Union's representation in the Dominican Republic, with near a 30 percent market share, explains that they offer donations in various modalities and would be interested in participating in other projects. Mr. Freddy Ortiz, president of the Remittance Association agrees about the importance of their companies to contribute to development.

Banks are relatively uninvolved in the remittance business, although there are exceptions. Banco Bancredito is involved in the money transfer system but only to a very small extent and with a small number of customers who are remittance recipients. Although Bancredito has 51 branches throughout the Dominican Republic, it only received a little less than \$4 million a year in remittances from the United States. Most of these transfers arrive into dollar savings accounts kept by Dominicans living in the U.S.. The bank recognizes that it could seek to attract or offer incentives to new customers to have dollar accounts. Banco Mercantil, which mostly works in trading, is interested in the remittance market and offers a money transfer scheme through a debit card in conjunction with Quisqueyana, a remittance company with offices in the U.S.. Customers in the U.S. go to Quisqueyana offices and transfer the money to the bank, while the recipient in the Dominican Republic receives a card, CashPin, which can be used regularly to withdraw remittances. This new venture represents an important advance in money transfers because it enables recipients to not only to cash their money at any ATM but also to buy goods at commercial establishments because it is also connected to Visa.

Other banks more involved in the money transfer are Banco Popular (BPD International) and Banco Hipotecario Dominicano. BPD International, whose Dominican counterpart is

the largest bank in the country, has various money transfer operations, including remittances (see Table 9). They also have arrangements with other money transfer companies. The Banco Hipotecario remits significant amounts through its branches in New York and its market share could reach 14 percent. This bank also offers other packages to senders and recipients of remittances. The company has a tourism office that is seeking to attract the Dominican market living abroad. One of the areas of emphasis in this bank is to attract recipients into the banking system as well as provide housing loans to both senders and recipients.

Table 4. Top 10 Banks in the Dominican Republic

Bank	Assets as of June 2001	Branches in the U.S.
Popular	2,263,825,210	New York
De Reservas	1,755,155,500	
Intercontinental	1,169,223,960	
B.H.D.	959,072,170	New York
Nacional de Credito	719,812,480	
Del Progreso	672,601,000	
Citibank	377,483,630	
Mercantil	339,626,600	Quisqueyana
Scotiabank	263,290,980	
Osaka	165,044,670	

Source: Estrategia y Negocio, Diciembre 2001 – Enero 2002.

Nevertheless, there is still much the banking industry and other business sectors can do and offer to money senders and recipients. Important contributions would include providing or expanding interest bearing dollar accounts, housing or construction loans, regular savings accounts to low income recipients, retirement packages to senders, and other financial opportunities. These could enhance both business interests and the needs of the remittance sector.

Finally, as in El Salvador, a nascent interest in money transfers has emerged among the cooperative system. One major advantage of the cooperative system in the Dominican Republic is that many of its branches operate in rural areas and sectors less frequented by banks. Cooperatives also offer a more welcoming environment and approach for

remittance recipients, as they seem to be less 'formal' than banks. In places where remittances are transferred through cooperatives the community also receives benefits from the association. One cooperative, San Jose de las Matas, transferred half a million dollars in remittances during an 12 month period. Many of the recipients have joined the cooperative since cashing the money through them. Thus remittances can play a developmental role among low-income recipients by functioning as a resource that over time can be saved. The Association of Cooperatives is seeking to expand its services by providing ATMs to the cooperative network and set a more effective and inexpensive money transfer system to that currently offered by remittance agencies.

The opportunities in the Dominican Republic to enable a better money transfer system are being set in motion with the increasing participation of banks and cooperatives. In addition, the government's interest in addressing policy options for migrants living abroad may also be a positive indicator about the emerging enabling environment. Within that context, there is need to discuss current costs as well as opportunities to improve services and available options for senders and recipients.

*c) Jamaica*

Jamaica is a very different country from other Latin American and Caribbean countries, not only by virtue of its language (as there are other English-speaking Latin American countries), but because it is the Latin American country with the largest proportion of its population living abroad. (Indeed, the Jamaican diaspora is spread out around the world.) Jamaica has a population of only 2.5 million people, but it has 800,000 immigrants in the United States alone. These 800,000 Jamaicans sent \$900 million in remittances in 2001. Another distinctive characteristic of Jamaica is that one single company, Western Union, through Grace Kennedy Remittance Services Ltd, manages the majority of the money transfer market. . Grace Kennedy/Western Union controls about 65 percent of the market. It also has among the highest remittance sending fees in the region. As in the Dominican Republic, awareness of the money transfer prices is limited in both most societal and governmental sectors. An enabling environment that better facilitates money transfers is needed in Jamaica, as is recognized by some Jamaican groups.

**Government**—Officials at the Bank of Jamaica, which is the central bank, believe money transfers to Jamaica flow smoothly. The bank’s primary interest to date has been limited to the quantity of financial flows, rather than broader enabling (or disadvantageous) factors that may promote or inhibit those flows. There are currently no restrictions on foreign exchange capital flows. There are, however currently conversations about money laundering regulations with the Ministry of Finance and the Banking industry in order to increase monitoring of money transfers that may arrive into the country for non-legal purposes. While officials interviewed were not aware of any complaints or concerns about the remittance transfer companies and systems, when they learned about the fees charged and exchange rate markups they, like their Dominican government counterparts, did express concern.

Outside the money transfer business, government officials at the Ministry of Finance recognize that there is no strategy to attract migrant currency earnings. There is only a general strategy to promote investment. There have been some outreach attempts but in general these have been isolated efforts. One such initiative was by the National Housing Corporation to encourage Jamaicans in the U.S. to build homes in their homeland.

In contrast, one area in government where there is a serious shift to focus on Jamaicans living abroad is in foreign and trade policy. This strategy recognizes the need for Jamaica to “be proactive in shaping the new rules of the international trading environment rather than passively allow these rules to be shaped by other countries.” In that context, one area of attention consists of attracting the attention and support of Jamaicans living overseas. Under this policy, the government established the following objectives. It aims to:

- Implement *Charter for Returning Residents*
- Operate as information center and contact point for Jamaican overseas organizations and communities- activities should focus on information gathering and analysis of overseas asset creation activities
- Promote policy to support the interests of Jamaican communities abroad through political and economic activities
- Encourage and mobilize Jamaicans abroad to assist in national development

- Encourage mass communication with Jamaicans overseas, e.g., through television and radio programming
- Provide trade-related assistance to Jamaicans overseas to increase capital flows to Jamaica, e.g., marketing networks, cultural activities. (Jamaica's New Trade Policy)

This strategy linked a previous effort (formalized in 1993) with the creation of the Department for Jamaicans Overseas. This department originally worked to care for Jamaican returnees, and later expanded to maintain links with its diaspora. The new policy of the government has been read as an important step in its recognition that the country's integration in the global economy depends in significant part on its relationship with its diaspora. Yet there is also recognition that the government has yet to move from this policy agenda into policy implementation through specific projects, which are now missing. Notwithstanding the limitations, the government has expressed enthusiasm over linking up with Jamaicans living overseas. Officials are also interested in learning from other countries' experiences to contribute to creating an environment that facilitate greater contact.

**Private sector**—The private sector is clearly aware of the importance of the diaspora, and it looks to the United Kingdom, Canada, and the United States as the places where Jamaicans are residing. It is also aware of the importance of family remittances coming into the country. As one chief bank official expressed it, “there is no debate that dependence on remittances is strong, and does not constitute a bad thing; it is a reality we need to adapt to.” Banking institutions like commercial banks and building societies participate in the money transfer to some extent. However, there is little concern about the remittance market control by one company. The major competitor to Grace Kennedy is Jamaica National, a building society which transfers 10 percent of the remittances that come into the country. It charges \$15 for any transaction, as compared to \$22 by Western Union/Grace Kennedy.

Aside from Jamaica National, there are some of other banks, which provide remittance services. These institutions use MoneyGram in the U.S. and charge similar or slightly

lower fees to those of Grace Kennedy. No bank examined identified a strategy to enable a more attractive environment to attract migrants' foreign currency for other purposes.

The credit union system is seeking to implement a nationwide strategy to offer remittance transactions and use the earnings from the transfer charges to implement educational packages to its members. One credit union that transfers money from Florida through a Cayman Island bank has demonstrated the benefits of money transfers by suggesting that their revenues have increased significantly since working in the remittance service sector.

The importance to increase interaction with the diaspora is a significant step for the Jamaican government. One concrete strategy for this country should be to identify the needs of the remittance sending and recipient populations, including lower fees, facilitating new economic opportunities, and providing other financial services.

#### *d) Guatemala*

Unlike the other three countries reviewed here, Guatemala has a relatively smaller population living abroad. Census Bureau estimates are that there are less than 500,000 Guatemalans in the United States. Nevertheless, in 2001 these Guatemalans sent nearly \$700 million to their country of origin. The government has generally recognized the importance of Guatemalans living abroad and has expressed interest in engaging with its population. In practice, however, limited efforts have actually been made. The private sector is moving towards engaging with migrants by entering the money transfer business.

**Government**—According to the Guatemalan Central Bank, the law allows transfers in dollars. However, some officials fear that the free negotiation of foreign currency could lead to increased exchange rate speculation. Guatemala does not have higher markups in the exchange rate than other countries under study. There are some limitations for people to have dollar accounts but these are not related to banking regulations but with to commercial banks' policies. In particular, many banks in the country require a \$500

deposit to open dollar accounts, and customers are also required to have an account in Quetzales.

**Private sector**—The banking industry in Guatemala has established money transfer offices in the United States to offer services to Guatemalans. The majority of the banks have offices in Los Angeles which function to transfer remittances. These bank offices compete among themselves to attract market share by offering low fees. A new bank, the second largest in the country, plans to enter the U.S. remittance market to break into this market. The bank’s strategy will be to offer more than one service: in addition to transferring money, it will provide basic information about issues of concern to Guatemalans like migration and legalization, as well as low income housing opportunities in Guatemala.

Table 5. Top 10 Guatemalan Banks

Bank	Assets as of June 2001	Branches in the U.S.
Industrial	1,003,543,590	
G & T Continental	974,531,670	Los Angeles
Del Café	630,943,330	
Agromercantil de Guatemala	527,635,130	Los Angeles
Reformador	419,877,690	
De Occidente	404,530,770	Los Angeles
De Desarrollo Rural	386,592,820	
De Exportación	253,788,460	
Internacional	241,104,490	
Crédito Hipotecario Nacional	176,557,690	

Source: Estrategia y Negocio, Diciembre 2001 – Enero 2002.

## **4. Recommendations**

The countries studied are still thinking about how to enable an environment by which money transfers can occur without complication and at lower cost. In Latin America there is a need to enable an environment that facilitates money transfers of any kind, be this remittance, savings, investment, or consumption. Because the most tangible and costly interaction between migrants and their home country is remittances, priority must be paid to this particular issue. The current efforts to enable the money transfer environment are at an early phase in which international support can provide significant input to expand and improve the current reality.

An effort to support senders and recipient should pay attention to two particular areas in which remittances are less costly and can also have a developmental leverage.

*a) Establish a customer rights office on the recipient side to educate recipients about costs and better measure effectiveness and efficiency of services*

Remittance recipients are not aware of many of the practices and methods of the remittance companies. For example, many senders do not know about the different exchange rate markups that prevail among many companies. Furthermore, there is no independent research or checks on effectiveness or efficiency of the various services. Non-governmental organizations could contribute significantly by educating money recipients about being informed customers.

*b) Create a task force on remittances and development to explore concrete possibilities for sending and recipient countries*

Players in the remittance market can further enhance and enable the remittance environment by acting in three strategic areas: help reduce money transfer fees, expand financial opportunities to recipients and senders, and leverage the developmental potential of remittances. To that effect a task force of key players should be set up to formulate agendas and policies that can improve the value, flow, and use of remittances. [and their effective management.] The task force would also help formulate strategies

that leverage the developmental potential of remittance and other migrant earnings. Members of the task force would make recommendations to the United States and Latin American governments as well as international organizations regarding key development practices influenced by remittances. Task force membership should include the participation of key players in the remittance process (business officials, policy makers from the U.S. and Latin America, leaders of Latino hometown associations, and international organizations). The task force would need to meet in the United States and Latin America, and will help shape a strategy on cost reduction and economic development. One important role of the task force will be to highlight important developmental strategies as identified in studies and the task force meetings. The task force's main objective would be to draw attention in the Inter-American community concerning the role of remittances in development and to support specific policy options and proposals that facilitate development.

*c) An expanded role for Latin American and U.S. civil society organizations*

Implicit in many of the above recommendations, and indeed in much of the report, is that the nonprofit, nongovernmental organization (NGO), or civil society sector has a very important role to play in promoting a better remittance system, from lower fees to citizens empowered to use mainstream financial institutions, to the developmental and investment possibility of remittance flows. This point has been demonstrated in examples ranging from the customer rights NGO in Honduras to the invaluable and innovative role of credit unions, themselves nonprofit organizations. Nevertheless, a full discussion of the role of the civil society sector – both what it currently offers, and what it can offer immigrants, their families, and their communities through international financial flows – is beyond the scope of this study and report which was commissioned to focus on government and business. An important future area of policy study is how to promote the enabling and enhancing role of the NGO/nonprofit sector in the US and home countries.

## **Bibliography**

Jamaica's New Trade Policy, 2001. Foreign Affairs Ministry of Jamaica, MINISTRY PAPER No.69.

## **List of people interviewed by country**

### El Salvador

Marisol Argueta, Embajadora, Ministerio de Relaciones Exteriores,  
Ricardo H. Pineda Sarmiento, Director de Desarrollo Empresarial, Banco Hipotecario de SA,  
Katherine Andrade-Eekhoff, Investigadora, FLACSO,  
Carlos Alberto Ortiz, Gerente Banca de Servicios, Banco Hipotecario de SA,  
Hector David Córdova Arteaga, Gerente Corporativo, FEDECACES,  
José Napoleón Duarte, Director Ejecutivo, Fundación Jose Napoleon Duarte,  
Jose Roberto Navarro E., Presidente, Banco Hipotecario de SA,  
Mario Roger Hernández, Director General, Ministry of Foreign Affairs,  
Alberto R. Parker, Gerente General, Western Union International,  
Jose Luis Garcia Monge, Central Bank,  
Xiomara Garcia, , Central Bank, Chief Balance of Payments  
Enrique Hinds, , Banco Agricola, International División,  
Bertha Silvia Mena de Morán, Gerente de Negocios y Administración, FEDECACES,  
Rafael Ruiz Suárez, Director Ejecutivo, Programa Nacional de Competividad, Ministerio de Economia,  
Sandra del Castillo, Banco Multisectorial, Infocentros

### Jamaica

Grace E. Solas, Manager, Central Finance Facility, Jamaica Co-operative Credit Union League Ltd.,  
Brian Goldson, Director, Information Services Division, Grace, Kennedy and Co. Ltd.,  
Joan-Marie Powell, Executive Director, Grace, Kennedy Remittance Services Ltd.,  
Robert Beers, Editorial Consultant, The Gleaner Company Ltd.,  
Colin Bullock, Deputy Governor, Research and Economic Programing Division, Bank of  
Myrtle D. Halsall, Division Chief, Bank of Jamaica  
Oliver F. Clarke, Chairman, Managing Director, The Gleaner Company Ltd.,  
Louise Brown, Director, Economic Information and Publications Department, Bank of  
Sandra Grant Griffiths, Assistant Director, Jamaicans Overseas Department, Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade,  
Chris Lowe, Managing Director, National Commercial Bank Jamaica Limited,  
Dunbar T. McFarlane, Deputy Chairman, National Commercial Bank Jamaica Limited,  
Peter-John Gordon, Director, Economic Planning and Research, Planning Institute of  
David Panton, , ,  
Rosalea Hamilton, Trade Relations, Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade,  
Earl Jarred, Executive Director, Jamaican National Building Society,

### Dominican Republic

Freddy Ortiz, Presidente Ejecutivo, Asociacion Dominicana de Empresas Remesadoras de Divisas Inc.,

Isidoro Santana, Secretario, Fundacion Siglo 21,

Marina de Garrigó, Vicepresidente, Bancredito,

Carmen Cristina Alvarez, Vicepresidente Ejecutivo, Banco BHD,

Marcia Cámpiz de Rodríguez, Vicepresidente, Banco BHD,

José Felipe Peña, President, BHD Worldwide Transfer System,

Susana López Rodríguez, 2do. Vicepresidente, Banco Mercantil, SA,

Danilo Del Rosario V., Embajador Director Ejecutivo, Presidencia de la Republica Oficina para la Promoción de la Inversión Extranjera de la Republica Dominicana ,

Luis E. Núñez Santana, Director, Departamento Internacional, Banco Central,

Edigarbo Garcia, Vicepresidente de Operaciones, Remesas Dominicanas,

Roberto Turull, Director de Programa de Bolsa de Inversiones y Subcontrataciones Internacionales (Biss), Presidencia de la Republica/ Oficina para la Promoción de la Inversión Extranjera de la Republica Dominicana ,

Virginio Rafael Gerardo, Director Ejecutivo, Asociación de Instituciones Rurales de Ahorro y Crédito, Inc.,

Julio C. Estrella, Consultor Económico, Departamento Internacional, Banco Central,

Ana Rita Tejeda Céspedes, Subsecretaria Asuntos Internacionales, ,

Santiago Tejada Escobaza, Subsecretario de Estado de Relaciones Exteriores, Encargado de Negociaciones Comerciales,

Luis Guillermo Flores, Director de Promoción, Presidencia de la Republica/ Oficina para la Promoción de la Inversión Extranjera de la Republica Dominicana

Marlenne Then, Vice-Presidente de Negocios, Western Union, Remesas Vimenca, SA.,

Mary Candelario, Secretaría de Estado de Turismo, Secretaría de Estado de Turismo,

José J. Castro M., Presidente, GSI Internacional,

Lorenzo Gatz, , Asociación de Institutos Rurales de Ahorra y Credito (AIRAC),

Zoraida Rosado, BANINTER,

### Nicaragua

José Felix Solis, , Banco Central, ,

Guillermo Areas Solano, Business Development Group,

### *Guatemala*

Carlos Enrique Guerra R., Experto III, Sección de balanza de pagos, Banco de Guatemala,

Claudia Sutter, GyT

Guatemalan Consul in Los Angeles

Julie Torres, independent consultant

### United States

Ernst Heldring, Harris Bank

Guy Erb, Rapid Money

Paul Dwyer, ViaAmericas

Luis Pastor, Latino Community Credit Union  
John Herrera, Self-Help,  
David Grace, Innet  
Ernie Salazar, Vigo International